

The use of bio-tools for nanomaterials development: how to assess the release of ionic silver from different silver nanoparticles using algae

Enrique Navarro¹, Niksa Odzak² and Yolanda Echegoyen²

1. Pyrenean Institute of Ecology-CSIC, Av. Montañana 1005, Zaragoza 50059, Spain

2. Eawag, Überlandstrasse 133, Dübendorf 8600, Switzerland

3. University Analytical Research Group, I+D+i Building, C/Mariano Esquilor s/n, Zaragoza 50018 Spain

E-mail: enrique.navarro@ipe.csic.es

Abstract

The use of a very well calibrated biological answer against Ag⁺ (here the algal photosynthesis of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*) has been used to assess the influence of different coatings and protein composition on the ionic Ag⁺ release from AgNPs under biotic conditions.

Because of their biocide properties [1], silver nanoparticles (AgNP) are present in numerous consumer products. During recent years, an increasing number of works demonstrated their toxicity to different microorganisms as bacteria [1-4] or algae [5-8]. Biocide properties of AgNP have been suggested to relate with both the release of ionic silver (Ag⁺) and interactions between AgNP and cell membranes [2, 7, 9-11]. The determinant role of dissolved silver ions, in explaining the observed toxicity of AgNP to microorganisms, has been experimentally evidenced by the fact that complexation of Ag⁺ ions by thiol ligands as well as anaerobic conditions prevent toxicity of AgNP [12-15]. These results emphasize the importance for disentangling the contribution of AgNP and Ag⁺ to the observed toxicity.

There are only a few works available, focusing on the influence of coatings on ionic silver release from AgNP [17-19]. These studies emphasize their role in complexing and storing silver ions suggesting a potential control of silver bioavailability by the coatings. However, desorption and release of ionic silver will ultimately depend on the affinity of membrane transporters to Ag⁺. Although some comparative studies with various coatings have indeed reported on differences in AgNP toxicity to aquatic organisms [7, 20, 21] none have systematically examined how coatings influence Ag⁺ bioavailability to organisms. In this study we have assessed the role played by citrate, lactate, gelatin, chitosan, carbonate, dextran, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, polyethyleneglycol, sodium dodecyl benzenesulfonate, and the influence of different amounts of caseine, on the release of ionic silver.

Even if coatings are commonly used to minimize nanoparticle aggregation in liquids [13, 16], our results shown that coatings may also optimize the delivery of ionic silver from nanomaterials. This information would support a more precise design of AgNPs depending on the intended use.

References

1. Panacek, A., et al., *Silver colloid nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization, and their antibacterial activity*. Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2006. **110**(33): p. 16248-16253.
2. Pal, S., Y.K. Tak, and J.M. Song, *Does the antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles depend on the shape of the nanoparticle? A study of the gram-negative bacterium Escherichia coli*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2007. **73**(6): p. 1712-1720.
3. Lee, W.F. and Y.C. Huang, *Swelling and antibacterial properties for the superabsorbent hydrogels containing silver nanoparticles*. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 2007. **106**(3): p. 1992-1999.
4. Shahverdi, A.R., et al., *Synthesis and effect of silver nanoparticles on the antibacterial activity of different antibiotics against Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli*. Nanomedicine-Nanotechnology Biology and Medicine, 2007. **3**(2): p. 168-171.
5. Warheit, D.B., et al., *Development of a base set of toxicity tests using ultrafine TiO₂ particles as a component of nanoparticle risk management*. Toxicology Letters, 2007. **171**(3): p. 99-110.
6. Hund-Rinke, K. and M. Simon, *Ecotoxic effect of photocatalytic active nanoparticles TiO₂ on algae and daphnids*. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2006. **13**(4): p. 225-232.
7. Spurgeon, D.J., et al., *Responses of earthworms (Lumbricus rubellus) to copper and cadmium as determined by measurement of juvenile traits in a specifically designed test system*. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 2004. **57**(1): p. 54-64.
8. Navarro, E., et al., *Environmental behavior and ecotoxicity of engineered nanoparticles to algae, plants, and fungi*. Ecotoxicology, 2008. **17**(5): p. 372-386.

9. Pinto, E., et al., *Heavy metal-induced oxidative stress in algae*. Journal of Phycology, 2003. **39**: p. 1008-1018.
10. Morones, J.R., et al., *The bactericidal effect of silver nanoparticles*. Nanotechnology, 2005. **16**(10): p. 2346-2353.
11. Sondi, I. and B. Salopek-Sondi, *Silver nanoparticles as antimicrobial agent: a case study on E-coli as a model for Gram-negative bacteria*. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 2004. **275**(1): p. 177-182.
12. Udovic, M. and D. Lestan, *The effect of earthworms on the fractionation and bioavailability of heavy metals before and after soil remediation*. Environmental Pollution, 2007. **148**(2): p. 663-8.
13. Li, L.Z., et al., *Effect of major cations and pH on the acute toxicity of cadmium to the earthworm Eisenia fetida: implications for the biotic ligand model approach*. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 2008. **55**(1): p. 70-7.
14. Xiu, Z.M., J. Ma, and P.J.J. Alvarez, *Differential Effect of Common Ligands and Molecular Oxygen on Antimicrobial Activity of Silver Nanoparticles versus Silver Ions*. Environmental Science & Technology, 2011. **45**(20): p. 9003-9008.
15. Udovic, M. and D. Lestan, *Eisenia fetida avoidance behavior as a tool for assessing the efficiency of remediation of Pb, Zn and Cd polluted soil*. Environmental Pollution, 2010. **158**(8): p. 2766-72.
16. Neubauer, S.C., D. Emerson, and J.P. Megonigal, *Microbial oxidation and reduction of iron in the root zone and influences on metal mobility*, in *Biophysico-chemical processes of heavy metals and metalloids in soil environments*, A. Violante, P.M. Huang, and G.M. Gadd, Editors. 2008, John Wiley & Sons: New York. p. 339-371.